


1st APPEAL TO THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

From

Fredrick Crasta
Sacred Heart Villa,
PO Kudlu, Kasaragod-671 124,
Kasaragod, Kerala

To

The Director & Appellate Authority
CPCRI, Kasaragod



RPI Appm. No. 2
2017-18

Sub: 1st Appeal against the false reply received from the PIO, CPCRI, Kasaragod for my application dated 13.11.2017

- Ref: 1. My RTI application dated 13.11.2017
2. Reply received from the PIO vide letter F.No. 17(1)RIB(2)/200s6-Estt.(Vol. XVII) dated 12.12.2017

Respected Sir,

I called for information about family pension cases and related matter pending more than two years at the Head Quarters of CPCRI vide my RTI application dated 13.11.2017. The reply received from the PIO is false one which tries to dilute the provisions of the Act and try to stop in seeking the information under the RTI Act.


RTI Query No.	Information Sought	Reply received from the PIO	Reason for appeal
01	No. of family pension cases pending <u>more than two years</u> at the Head Quarters of CPCRI . If so furnish the name of the applicants.	NIL	<u>False information was furnished by the PIO.</u> Two retired staff member families had met me to extend the help for getting family pension stating that they had applied for family pension last two years back but till date there was no response in this regard. Besides this the aged applicants had met the officer/concerned and dealing hands so many time but no response.

PIO
18.12.2017

02	There is a heavy loss to the family pensioner in the case of Medical allowance due to delay/negligence of the dealing hand in processing the family pension case in time. Then who is responsible for such financial loss to the family pensioner?	Specific case, if any, may be pointed out	PPO No.1387/2929 She had applied for family pension on 05.10.2015. Family pension granted on 11.09.2017 <u>after a major lapse of nearly two years.</u> Family Pension : w e f 05.10.2015 Medical Allow: w e f 07.08.2017. Yes, there is a heavy loss to the family pensioner at about Rs.11,000/-. Who is responsible to this financial loss .
03	Reason for pending of family pension cases.	Not applicable	There are pending pension cases lying in the office which requires immediate attention. Hence, the information furnished by the PIO is false one.
04	Whether the pending cases are brought to notice of the higher authority by the dealing hand/clerk/Assistant.	Not applicable	There are pending cases in the office. Hence, the information furnished by the PIO is false one.
05	Whether the dealing hand/clerks/Assistant of the CPCRI, Kasaragod is maintaining the personal diary and put the same to the competent authority in time	Yes	Nil

In view of the factual position enumerated above, I herewith humbly requesting the Appellate Authority for considering my appeal favorably and instruct/order the dealing official to provide the actual information as early as possible.

Place: Kudlu
Date: 15.12.2017

Yours faithfully,

(Fredrick Crasta)